For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
OR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN

ALFREDO TORRES, JR.,

No. C 10-03044 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

OFFICER A. LOPEZ,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff has filed a motion for appointment of counsel to represent him in this action.

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which
would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a probono appointment. The proceedings are at
an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the
merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately <u>pro se</u> in light of the
complexity of the issues involved. See Agyeman v. Corrs. Corp. of Am., 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th
Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED. This does
not mean, however, that the Court will not consider appointment of counsel at a later juncture in the
proceedings; that is, after Defendants have filed their dispositive motion such that the Court will be
in a better position to consider the procedural and substantive matters at issue. Therefore, Plaintiff
may file a renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive motion has
been filed. If the Court decides that appointment of counsel is warranted at that time, it will seek
volunteer counsel to agree to represent Plaintiff pro bono.

This Order terminates Docket no. 4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>9/10/10</u>

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge

1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	ALFREDO TORRES JR., Case Number: CV10-03044 SBA
6	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
7	V.
8	A. LOPEZ et al,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
12	That on September 10, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13	envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14	located in the Clerk's office.
15	
16 17	Alfredo Torres G-41302 San Quentin State Prison San Quentin, CA 94974
18	Dated: September 10, 2010
19	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
40	